

**REMARKS**

The Office Communication alleged that the amendment filed on December 13, 2007 was non-responsive since it cancelled the originally presented claims and added newly submitted Claims 38-41, which the Examiner contended are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed. In particular, the Examiner alleged that the newly presented claims are directed to a communication apparatus on the steering handle of a vehicle, whereas the originally presented claims were directed to a mobile or PDA device. The application as originally filed included claims directed to a communication apparatus provided on a steering handle of an automobile (*see e.g.*, Claim 30), similar to newly presented Claims 39-41. The amendment was responsive and it is respectfully requested that the amendment be entered and that the Examiner examine Claims 39-41.

If there are any issues that can be addressed via telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brenda O. Holmes/

By: Brenda O. Holmes  
Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street  
Suite 2800  
Atlanta, GA 30309-4530  
Telephone: (404) 815-6500  
Facsimile: (404) 815-6555  
Date: April 7, 2008